SWR#30449

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

InterimFinal 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: Facility Address: Facility EPA ID#:		L-3 Communications, Integrated Systems		
1.	groundwater medi	relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the a, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units ed Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI		
	X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.		
		Ifno - re-evaluate existing data, or		
		ifdata are not available, skip to #8 and enter" IN" (more information needed) status code.		

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action programto go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e.,

RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 2

Is groundwater known or reasonably suspected to be " contaminated " above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from the facility?			
_X	If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.		
	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."		
	Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.		
and 190 mg/L; 1,2 DCA - 110 mg/L; 1,2-DCE - 62 mg/L; benzene - 4 mg/L (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & Alleyway Investigation Letter Report, May, 2003; Summary Report Interim System APA for Period Nov. 2002 to Jan. 2003, March 2003) At the Former Burn Pit Area - 1,2 DCE - 7.5 mg/L; vinyl Chloride - 6.5 mg/L (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & Alleyway Investigation Letter Report, May, 2003)			
At the North La	goon Waste Management Area - 1,2 DCA - 74 mg/L; TCE - 1.5 mg/L		
(Semi-Annual G 30 th 2003, July, 2	roundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action System Report for Period Jan 1st to June 2003)		
	e: 1,1 - DCE - 24 mg/L; TCE - 1.5 mg/L; arsenic - 1.5 mg/L (Site Wide Groundwater eyway Investigation Letter Report, May, 2003)		

Footnotes:

¹" Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 3

	as the migration of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is pected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring cations designated at the time of this determination)?			
X	If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).			
	If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - ski #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.			
	Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.			
Rationale and Re	ference(s):			
groundwater plu monitored semi-	e APA. These recovery systems help maintain the horizontal extent of the mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for 2013, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Active Active Monitoring & Corrective Monitoring			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report fo	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action Period Jan 1st to June 30th 2003, July, 2003).			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report for The groundwater expanding, based Alleyway Investig	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for 2 to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Active Active Interior of the State of Corrective Active Interior of the State of Corrective Active Interior of the State of Interior of Corrective Active Interior of the State of Interior of the State of Interior of Interi			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report for The groundwater expanding, based Alleyway Investig	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action Period Jan 1st to June 30th 2003, July, 2003). • plumes at the Former Burn Pit and at the Alleyway does not appear to be lon ongoing groundwater monitoring data. (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & station Letter Report, May, 2003; Meeting Summary report, July 16, 2002; Affected			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report for The groundwater expanding, based Alleyway Investig	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action Period Jan 1st to June 30th 2003, July, 2003). • plumes at the Former Burn Pit and at the Alleyway does not appear to be lon ongoing groundwater monitoring data. (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & station Letter Report, May, 2003; Meeting Summary report, July 16, 2002; Affected			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report for The groundwater expanding, based Alleyway Investig	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action Period Jan 1st to June 30th 2003, July, 2003). • plumes at the Former Burn Pit and at the Alleyway does not appear to be lon ongoing groundwater monitoring data. (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & station Letter Report, May, 2003; Meeting Summary report, July 16, 2002; Affected			
groundwater plu monitored semi- Period Nov. 2002 System Report for The groundwater expanding, based Alleyway Investig	mes. The extent of the groundwater plume at the North Lagoon Area is also annually as part of a Compliance Plan (Summary Report Interim System APA for to Jan. 2003, March 2003; Semi-Annual Groundwater Monitoring & Corrective Action Period Jan 1st to June 30th 2003, July, 2003). • plumes at the Former Burn Pit and at the Alleyway does not appear to be lon ongoing groundwater monitoring data. (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & station Letter Report, May, 2003; Meeting Summary report, July 16, 2002; Affected			

been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of contamination that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all contaminated groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of contaminated groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 4

Do	es "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?
	X If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.
	If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.
	Ifunknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
Rat	tionale and Reference(s):
the La	learthen ditch runs through the groundwater plume at the APA. The ditch is typically dry and ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected operty Assessment Report - Airplane Preparation Area, Jan. 2002).
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected
the La	ly carries storm-water during rain events. There might be connectivity between groundwater and editch during these storm events. The ditch empties to the Sabine River which discharges into ke Tawakoni (Response to Comments - TNRCC Letter Dated July 5, 2002, Oct. 4, 2002; Affected

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 5

Is the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be "insignificant" (i.e., the 5. maximum concentration³ of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)? X If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration³ ofkey contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) provide a statement of professional judgement/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system. If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration³ of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations³ greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing. Ifunknown - enter "IN" status code in #8. Rationale and Reference(s): Groundwater samples APA-Ditch and APA-Ditch-2 were collected at edge of the drainage ditch. The maximum concentration of contaminants detected were: 1,2-DCA - 18.3 µg/L; vinyl chloride -5.4 µg/L (Affected Property Assessment Report - Airplane Preparation Area, Jan. 2002). Groundwater contamination at the APA is presently being controlled by an extraction system (Site Wide Groundwater Sampling & Alleyway Investigation Letter Report, May, 2003).

³ As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g.,

hyporheic) zone.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 6

6.	Can the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be " currently acceptable" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed				
	to continue until	a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?			
		If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these			
		conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface			
		water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation			
		demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR			
		2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for			
		impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in			
		the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving			
		surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and			
		final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-			
		assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging			
		groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and			
		contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination,			
		surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate			
		surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on			
		ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk			
		Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deemappropriate for making			
		the EI determination.			
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "currently			
		acceptable") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently			
		unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.			
		Ifunknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.			
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):			

⁴ Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently

unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Page 7

7.	Will groundwater monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"			
	X	If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."		
		Ifno - enter "NO" status code in #8.		
		Ifunknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.		
	Rationale and Refe	erence(s):		
		easibility studies are being planned for the other AOC's. (Government Performance Invironmental Indicators, Aug. 2003)		

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750) Page 8

8.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).				
	_X	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the _L-3 Communications facility, EPA ID # TXD007365984, located at 10001 Jack Finney Blvd., Greenville, Texas. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.			
		NO - Unacceptable migration of contami	nated groundwater is observed or expected.		
		IN - More information is needed to make	a determination.		
	Completed by	(signature) (print) Catherine Liu (title) TCEQ CAS Project Manager			
	Supervisor	(signature) (print)	Date		
		(title) (EPA Region or State)			
	Locations where References may be found:				
	Attach a copy of this facility's database printout. Highlight the reports which support the "YE" determination.				
	Contact telephor	ne and e-mail numbers			
	(name)_ (phone	Catherine Liu#)(512) 239-6678			

Final Note: The purpose of the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater EI is to verify that the

groundwater plume is stable. A "YE" determination does not constitute a screening tool to end the corrective action process. The "YE" determination may be changed at any time as new information becomes available.